Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,327	CODY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712
All Participants:	Status of Application: Res	stricted
(1) <u>Daniel S. Metzmaier</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark A. Farley.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 February 2006	Time: ~ 3:30 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none.		
Claims discussed: none.		
Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
	Julius de la companya della companya	Paniel Allefri
		DANIEL S. METZNAIER PRIMARY DIAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner inquired regarding the status of the latest filings in the case. Applicants' representative indicated no response was filed to the last Official Office Action. It was noted that an IDS was filed in Suptember. Said filing was noted to not be a response to the Office Action..